

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,856	07/30/2003	Kurt Staehle	STAEHLE7	6158	
7590 04/05/2006			EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BARFIELD, ANTI	BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3636		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,856	STAEHLE, KURT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony D. Barfield	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formu	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is inconsistency between the preamble and portions in the body of claims as the applicant has "functionally" recited the seat including portions thereof, (i.e., the lap belt) in the preamble and then "positively" recites the seat and including portions thereof I the body of the claims. The applicant must clarify what the claims are drawn to i.e., the apparatus or the combination of the apparatus and seat.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 9-11 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaffer et al. Shaffer et al., shows an apparatus (36,230) for receiving a robot driver in a motor vehicle having a driver's seat and a driver's lap belt, comprising: a mounting portion (36) adapted to rest on the driver's seat and comprising a seat plate (38) configured for supporting the robot driver; and at least one locking element (230) carried by the mounting

Application/Control Number: 10/629,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

portion and engageable with the driver's lap belt for holding the mounting portion securely on the driver's seat a position suitable for proper operation of the robot driver. The locking element has

a grip handle (232) and comprises least one component (238), which guides and locks the lap

belt. The locking element is movable about pivot shaft (236) in such a way that pivoting of the

locking element varies the spacing between the locking point and the component (see Fig. 9).

Shaffer et al further shows the use of a guide plate (42) laterally spaced from the locking element

to help guide the lap belt.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,856 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3636

adb

March 31, 2006